

Proud of our Past... Building the Future

#### **STORMWATER REUSE INNOVATION DOWN UNDER:**

#### Are the challenges in Alberta really that different?

David Seeliger, Corporate Lead Stormwater Management Vice President, Alberta Low Impact Development Partnership Alberta Water Council Symposium 2014

## **Presentation Outline**

- What are the similarities?
- Visionaries and early adopters
- Implications of the extreme drought (2003- 2010)
- "Waterproofing" strategies
  - Harvest potential and targets
  - Example projects
  - Research & innovation
- Summary



## Acknowledgements

- Michael Hood, Aurecon Waterproofing the West
- Baden Myers, Goyder Institute for Water Research, University of South Australia
- Ben Hall, City of Onkaparinga systems operations
- **Bare Robin Allison, Design Flow Unity Park Bioretention**





# What are the similarities?

	Adelaide Metro	Calgary
Population (M)	~1.2	~1.2
City municipalities (#)	20	1
Potable water price (\$/m <sup>3</sup> )	3.30 - 3.59	2.20 - 2.72
Pot. E.T. (mm)	~1700	~1000
Ave precipitation (mm)	420 - 600	410 - 445
Rainfall deficit (months)	5 — 7	2 - 3
Weather / rainy season	Hot / Winter	Cold / Summer
Potable water source	River Murray & Mt Lofty Ranges	Glacier & snow melt - Bow and Elbow Rivers

### **Visionaries– City of Salisbury**

- 1970's constructed first stormwater wetland
- **1990's** stormwater for irrigation
  - Aquifer Storage Recovery (ASR) trials





## Visionaries – City of Salisbury

Wetlands

High St

DRY CREEK

Salisbury Hwy

- Largest constructed wetland complex in Southern Hemisphere
  - > 70 wetlands systems

Inlet

4rm

iarden Island

- Mawson Lakes dual reticulation (1998)
- Harvested stormwater to Industry (2002)

Wing St

- Research Trials Mid Late 2000's
  - Aquifer Storage Transfer & Recovery (ASTR)
  - Bioretention filtration

liver Expressway A9 Port River Expressway Rubbish Tip •

Wilkins Bd



## **Mawson Lakes**



# **Parafield ASR Wetlands**



### Early Adopters – DC of Light

- Freeling small rural town
- 1998 Constructed stormwater wetland
- Irrigate town "oval" from holding pond







## **Implications of the Drought**

- The River Murray most reliable source dried up
- Most parks, schools and sporting grounds stopped being irrigated
- Severe water restrictions, limited hand watering permitted
- Trees were stressed and many died
- Alternative water sources explored
- Potable water price increased by more than 3x



## **Implications of the Drought**

- Source water security and reliability "waterproofing" strategies
- Considered potential sources in developing the strategy
  - Rainwater, treated stormwater & wastewater, seawater (desalination), groundwater and increasing storage capacity in reservoirs
  - Stormwater was an important part of an integrated strategy
- New policies requirements on rainwater reuse
- Significant funding available federal and state governments
- Municipalities developed plans around stormwater reuse



#### **Stormwater Opportunities**

- 2009 options study for Adelaide
  - Sustainable yield 106,000 ML/annum
  - Constructed/planned 18,000 ML/annum
  - Additional identified sites 42,000 ML/annum
  - Estimated cost \$700M
- State Government "Water For Good" stormwater reuse goal
  - 。 35,000 ML/annum by 2025
  - 60,000 ML/annum by 2050 (30% of current of metro Adelaide potable water supply)



## **Rainwater Reuse**



## **Rainwater Harvesting Examples**

#### Lochiel Park Eco-village

- Rainwater for Hot water
- Treated stormwater for toilet flushing, washing machine cold tap, public & private irrigation
- Reduce potable water use by 87%
- Royal Adelaide Show Grounds
  - 3.5ML storage under main building supplies 50% of all water needs
  - Used for toilet flushing, irrigation and ornamental features



## Waterproofing Adelaide

- Current stormwater reuse capacity 26,000 ML/annum
- Waterproofing Strategies
- > \$350M spent on capital projects
- Recycle 30% of wastewater effluent (Horticulture, Residential –Mawson Lakes, Airport, Adelaide City Parklands)



# Waterproofing the West



## Waterproofing the West: Stage 1

- Project driver water restrictions, but flood management, water quality, environment and aesthetics were important benefits
- I1 different funding partners
- Commonwealth, state and municipal governments, agencies, private developers (infill development)
- Stage 1 \$70M for 2,400 ML/annum
- Includes wetlands, biofiltration, ASR, distribution mains





- Public space irrigation No disinfection if irrigate between 9pm and 6am
- Unrestricted, high dose UV (79mJ/cm<sup>2</sup>) or low dose UV (12mJ/cm<sup>2</sup>) and chlorination
- Domestic non-potable use chlorination &UV
- **u** Turbidity < 10 NTU





#### **Treatment Processes**

#### Continuous monitoring:

- conductivity
- 。 pH
- turbidity
- Stop if do not meet criteria > 30 mins
- Stop if Lab. Parameter criteria exceed
- Off-line wetlands treatment preferable
  - Turbidity issues
  - Poor WQ in summer



#### **Salisbury Water**

#

31

45

41

2500

4300

670 (2500)

- Customers (2012):
  - Schools
    municipal reserves / parks
  - Business
  - Residential (potential)
  - External Dev. Residential
  - Mawson Lakes (SW & WW)
- Currently supply capacity > secured demand
- Expand distribution network to supply new users, other municipalities
- ~ \$400M to provide dual reticulation in Salisbury



#### **Parafield ASTR**

- Aquifer Storage, Transfer and Recovery (ASTR) Trial (4+ years)
- Can aquifers treat stormwater to meet drinking water quality?
- Pathogen die-off: bacteria & protozoa was rapid, virus very slow.
- Augmenting potable supplies understanding risks, net public benefit and strong community support



#### **ASR Stormwater Use Options Study**

- Extensive WQ risk and economic assessment of stormwater reuse options
- 5 options (of 16) were more economic than using potable mains water alone:
  - Public open space irrigation with and without wastewater blending.
  - Potable supply using local treatment or indirect via reservoir
  - Dual (purple) pipe non potable supply to households blended with recycled water.
- ASR enables 2x harvestable volume at 30 50% lower unit cost than surface storage
- Low Impact Development in the catchment is important in managing water quality and provides attenuation

#### **Alternative Water Supplies**

Alternative Source	Capital (\$M/1000 ML)	Operating (\$/m³)
Stormwater	10 - 30	0.55
Wastewater	30 - 50	0.70
Desalination	22	1.30

 Survey of public indicated acceptance of using treated stormwater as a potable supply option – but needed adequate consultation





#### Uses bioretention cells to treat stormwater

Trial different media and layout configurations



### **Unity Park Stormwater Harvesting**

- Bioretention smaller treatment area (10 % wetland)
- Capital 3 to 5 times lower than wetlands
- Important for future stages as land availability becomes limiting



#### Summary

- Stormwater & rainwater is a valuable and economically viable resource
- Developing a networked distribution system provides flexibility and not reliant on specific users
- ASR increases stormwater capture efficiency and reduces capital costs
- Bioretention treatment of stormwater for reuse is an important emerging technology

![](_page_29_Picture_5.jpeg)

#### Summary

 Technologies and approaches are applicable to Alberta provided cold climate issues are addressed

Thought to ponder? Could the Calgary region ever experience similar events to Adelaide?

![](_page_30_Picture_3.jpeg)

## **Questions?**

![](_page_31_Picture_1.jpeg)

David Seeliger email: <u>dseeliger@mpe.ca</u> MPE Engineering: Corporate Lead, Stormwater Management

Alberta Low Impact Development Partnership: Vice President

![](_page_31_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_31_Picture_5.jpeg)